

Detuning, RF stability and transient beam-loading studies of RF control for the BESSY VSR higher harmonic SC Cavities

Abstract

For the feasibility of the BESSY VSR upgrade project of BESSY II two higher harmonic systems at a factor of 3 and 3.5 of the ring's RF fundamental of 500 MHz will be installed in the ring. Operating in continuous wave at high average accelerating field of 20 MV/m and phased at zerocrossing, the SC cavities have to be detuned within tight margins to ensure stable operation and low power consumption at a loaded Q of 5[.]10⁷. This is especially of importance as the three cavity system is operating in Robinson stable regime whereas the higher frequency harmonic system is intrinsically unstable, requiring the RF feedback systems to damp any rise of instability by exact field control. The field variation of the cavities is mainly driven by the repetitive transient beam-loading of the envisaged complex bunch fill pattern in the ring. Within this work combined LLRF-cavity and longitudinal beam dynamics simulation will demonstrate the limits for stable operation, especially the coupling between synchrotron oscillation and RF feedback settings. Further impact by beam current decay and top-up injection are being simulated

Power requirements, tuning, limitations

For the feasibility of the BESSY VSR upgrade project of BESSY II two higher harmonic systems at a factor of 3 and 3.5 of the ring's RF fundamental of 500 MHz will be installed: Continuous wave operation at 20 MV/m at zero-crossing \rightarrow Reactive beam-loading dominates required power, compensate by proper tuning

 \sim



rataineter per cavity	1.5 0112	1.75 0112
Voltage (MV)	10	8.7
E _{acc} (MV/m)	20.0	20.0
$Q_{ m L}$	5×10^{7}	4.3×10^{7}
$R/Q \operatorname{TM}_{010} - \pi(\Omega)$	500	500
$\phi_{\rm acc}$ (degree)	90	-90
Δf for beam-loading (kHz)	-11.25	15.3
Average $P_{\rm f}(kW)$	1.49	1.0
Voltage 0.5 GHz	1.5 MV	
Parameters for storage ring beam pattern without clearance gaps		



chronous phase, time varying RF bucket along bunch train • 1.5 GHz system operated close to DC Robinson limit, 1.75 GHz system intrinsically unstable for DC and AC Robinson w.r.t. long buckets The three frequency system forms a stable RF potential, but how stable is that system?



Coupled RF Cavity-LLRF-long. beam dynamics Simulations



1.5 GHz 1.75 GHz

Beam dynamics and RF settings	
Beam Energy	1.7 GeV
Momentum compaction α	7.1×10^{-1}
Effective beam current I_{b0} at 1.5 GHz	300.3 mA
<i>I</i> _{b0} at 1.75 GHz	257.5 m/
Harmonics number 1.5 GHz	1199.99
and at 1.75 GHz	1400.00
Revolution period	800 n
Radiation damping time	8 m
with feedback	0.75 m
LLRF and Cavity settings	
DC Feedback gain K _P	350
Loon filter cutoff	50 kH

800 ns

13 kW

 5×10^{7}

- Based on LCR model [11] • Tracks bunches as macro particles
 - Includes long. dynamics



Conclusions

- The total system is stable, even for a very complex bunch pattern, the tuning working point can be determined by simulation
- A beam current measurement needs to be implemented for stable operation
- Given the power reserve (13 kW), a 60 Hz detuning offset or 1.5 mA beam current shift are still being compensated
- Both SC cavity systems can be operated at low power and high Q_L
- Transient beam-loading dominates cavity field amplitude and phase variations
- Given a low gain, Robinson instability can be observed
- Todo: Frequency domain analysis



Short term power transfer between systems, net transfer zero on average

Given a too small LLRF feedback gain, about factor of 100 lowered, gives rise to coupled AC and DC Robinson instability, starting in the 1.75 GHz system

• Coupling to voltage by gaps and single bunches

Loop latency

forward

stable, phase transient is of the

expected order and deviation with time

• 1.75 GHz system intrinsically more susceptible towards perturbations



Top-up injection without proper tuning, new stable solution by increased RF





*Dr. Axel Neumann Axel.Neumann@helmholtz-berlin.de

Fon +49-30-8062-14669 Fax +49-30-8062-14617

References:

G. Wüstefeld et al., IPAC'11, San Sebastián, 2011, THPC014,	[7] D. Boussard, CAS-CERN Accelerator School: 5th Advanced Ac-
JA. Jankowiak et al., editors, "BESSY VSR – Technical Design Stu-	celerator Physics Course, Rhodes, Greece, 1993, Proc. 2 1995.
", Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin, 2015, to be published	[8] A. Mosnier et al., EPAC 1998, Stockholm, WEP28G, pp. 1720 ff
] P. Wilson. In: Frontiers of Particle Beams: Factories with e+ e-	[9] K.W. Robinson, "Stability of beams in radiofrequency systems",
ings. Ed. by M. Dienes et al. Vol.425. Lecture Notes in Physics.	CEAL-1010, MIT and Harvard University, Cambridge Electron Ac-
oringer Berlin Heidelberg,1994, pp. 293–311.	celerator, February 1964
] A. Neumann et al., SRF'11, Chicago, 2011, MOPO067, p. 262 ff.	[10] M. Ruprecht et al., IPAC'15, MOPWA022
M. Ruprecht et al., IPAC'13, Shanghai, 2013, WEOAB101, p. 2038	[11] A. Neumann, J. Knobloch, SRF2007, Beijing, 2007, WEP56, pp. 627–631,
] A. Neumann et al., Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 13 (8 Aug. 2010), 082001.	[12] A. Vélez et al., IPAC'15, WEPMA013